1	BRAD D. BRIAN (CA Bar No. 079001, pro hac	vice)	
2	Brad.Brian@mto.com LUIS LI (CA Bar No. 156081, pro hac vice)	2011 APR 14 AM 9: 34	
3	Luis.Li@mto.com TRUC T. DO (CA Bar No. 191845, pro hac vice		
4	Truc.Do@mto.com MIRIAM L. SEIFTER (CA Bar No. 269589, pr	o hac vice)	
5	Miriam.Seifter@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	Jacqueline Handman	
6	355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560		
7	Telephone: (213) 683-9100		
8	THOMAS K. KELLY (AZ Bar No. 012025) tskelly@kellydefense.com		
9	425 E. Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301		
10	Telephone: (928) 445-5484		
11	Attorneys for Defendant JAMES ARTHUR RAY		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF ARIZONA		
13	COUNTY OF YAVAPAI		
14	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. V1300CR201080049	
15	Plaintiff,	Hon. Warren Darrow	
16	VS.	DEFENDANT JAMES ARTHUR RAY'S	
17	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE BY ORDER OF THE COURT PURSUANT	
18	Defendant.	TO ARIZ. R. CRIM. P. 15.1(G)	
19		DIVISION PTB	
20			
21			
22	Defendant James Arthur Ray, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby moves this		
23	Court for an Order for disclosure regarding Richard Haddow pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. P.		
24	15.1(g). This motion is supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities. A		
25	proposed Order is attached.		
26			
27			
28			
	13712143 1		
	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE BY ORDER OF THE COURT (RULE 15.1(G)		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

On April 13, 2011, this Court found "that the State has violated the affirmative duty under *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny to 'disclose evidence that is both favorable to the defense and material to either guilt or punishment." Under Advisement Ruling on Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Filed April 11, 2011 at 1 (citations omitted). The *Brady* information suppressed by the State was the "clearly exculpatory" April 29, 2010 emailed report of Richard Haddow, an environmental engineer expert witness. *Id.* at 2.

By this motion and pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. P. 15.1(g), the defense requests that the Court order the following disclosure from the Yavapai County Attorney's Office, the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office, and Richard Haddow:

- Any and all communications between the Yavapai County Attorney's Office and Richard Haddow, including without limitations e-mails and written correspondence.
- 2. Any and all communications between the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office and Richard Haddow, including without limitations e-mails and written correspondence.
- Any and all reports, including drafts or preliminary reports, statements, and examination notes made by Richard Haddow in connection with the October 8, 2009 sweat lodge incident at Angel Valley.
- 4. Any and all documents, information and items provided to Richard Haddow by the Yavapai County Attorney's Office and/or the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office.
- Any and all documents, information and items relied upon Richard Haddow in reaching any conclusions, opinions, or results in connection with the October 8, 2009 sweat lodge incident at Angel Valley.
- 6. Interview of Detective Ross Diskin, after disclosure of items 1 through 5.
- 7. Interview of Richard Haddow, after disclosure of items 1 through 5...
- 8. Interview of County Attorney Sheila Polk, after disclosure of items 1 through 5.

2728

1	9. Interview of Deputy County Attorney Bill Hughes, after disclosure of items 1		
2	through 5.		
3	Given that this Court has found Mr. Haddow's report to be exculpatory and favorable to		
4	Mr. Ray "in all aspects of his defense as presented at trial," Mr. Ray has substantial need in the		
5	preparation of his case for the requested material and information, and is unable to without undue		
6	hardship obtain the substantial equivalent by other means. Because of the State's suppression of		
7	this exculpatory evidence until eight weeks into trial, Mr. Ray not only has a substantial but an		
8	urgent need for the requested disclosure. Pursuant to Rule 15.1(g), this Court should exercise its		
9	discretion to "order any person to make it available to the defendant," Ariz. R. Crim. P. 15.1(g),		
10	as set forth in the proposed Order.		
11			
12			
13	DATED: April 14, 2011 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP BRAD D. BRIAN		
14	LUIS LI TRUC T. DO		
15	MIRIAM L. SEIFTER		
16	THOMAS K. KELLY		
17	By:		
18	Attorneys for Defendant James Arthur Ray		
19 20			
21	Copy of the foregoing delivered this 14th day of April, 2011, to:		
22	Sheila Polk		
23	Yavapai County Attorney Prescott, Arizona 86301		
24	1. All lo		
25	by the terms of th		
26			
27			

- 3 -

28

1	BRAD D. BRIAN (CA Bar No. 079001, pro hac vice)			
2	Brad.Brian@mto.com LUIS LI (CA Bar No. 156081, pro hac vice)			
3	Luis.Li@mto.com TRUC T. DO (CA Bar No. 191845, pro hac vi	ice)		
4	Truc.Do@mto.com MIRIAM L. SEIFTER (CA Bar No. 269589, pro hac vice) Miriam.Seifter@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP			
5				
6	355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560			
7	Telephone: (213) 683-9100			
8	THOMAS K. KELLY (AZ Bar No. 012025) tskelly@kellydefense.com			
9	425 E. Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301			
10	Telephone: (928) 445-5484			
11	Attorneys for Defendant JAMES ARTHUR RAY			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF YAVAPAI			
13	COUNT	OF TAVAFAI		
14	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. V1300CR201080049		
15	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DISCLOSURE		
16	VS.	PURSUANT TO ARIZ. R. CRIM. P. 15.1(G)		
17	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	Div. PTB - Honorable Warren R. Darrow		
18	Defendant.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	13712337 1	-1-		
	ORDER			

1 Based on Defendant James Arthur Ray's Motion for Disclosure By Order of the Court 2 Pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. P. 15.1(g), and good cause appearing therefor, specifically that the 3 Defendant has substantial need in the preparation of his case for material or information not 4 otherwise covered by Rule 15.1, and that the defendant is unable without undue hardship to 5 obtain the substantial equivalent by other means: 6 7 IT IS ORDERED that the Yavapai County Attorney's Office, Yavapai County Sheriff's 8 Office, and Richard Haddow produce and disclose the following items in its possession, custody 9 or control to Defendant forthwith. 10 11 1. Any and all communications between the Yavapai County Attorney's Office and 12 Richard Haddow, including without limitations e-mails and written 13 correspondence. 14 2. Any and all communications between the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office and 15 Richard Haddow, including without limitations e-mails and written 16 correspondence. 17 3. Any and all reports, including drafts or preliminary reports, statements, and 18 examination notes made by Richard Haddow in connection with the October 8, 19 2009 sweat lodge incident at Angel Valley. 20 4. Any and all documents, information and items provided to Richard Haddow by the 21 Yavapai County Attorney's Office and/or the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office. 22 5. Any and all documents, information and items relied upon Richard Haddow in 23 reaching any conclusions, opinions, or results in connection with the October 8, 24 2009 sweat lodge incident at Angel Valley. 25 26 27 28

- 2 -

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that County Attorney Sheila Polk, Deputy County Attorney	
2	Bill Hughes, Detective Ross Diskin and Richard Haddow submit to a tape-recorded interview by	
3	the defense at a date and time arranged by the parties and only after disclosure of items 1 through	
4	5 has been made.	
5		
6	SIGNED this day of April, 2011.	
7		
8	Warren R. Darrow	
9	Judge of the Superior Court	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 3 - 13712337.1	
	ORDER	